

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/718,209 | CLARKE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | San-ming Hui | 1617 | |

All Participants:

 (1) San-ming Hui.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9/2/05
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner was trying to contact Mr. Greg Houghton two times on August 16, 2005 and September 2, 2005 for status check with no success. Since no reply was received, the case will go abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 10/1/05
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)